



UNTERMAN McPHAIL ASSOCIATES
HERITAGE RESOURCE MANAGEMENT CONSULTANTS
540 RUNNYMEDE ROAD
TORONTO ONTARIO M6S 2Z7
T 416 766 7333
F 416 763 4082
E umcarubm@pathcom.com

January 29, 2012

Sent by EMAIL

Mr. Glen Tomkinson
PENN Energy Renewables
Pencoyd Iron Works Building
620 Righters Ferry Road
Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004

Dear Mr. Tomkinson

Re: Penn Energy - Brantgate, FIT# FIT-FCELIHJ, Contract #F-001576-SPV-130-505, Solar PV Renewable Energy Facility, PT. LT. 1-2 CON. 11, BURFORD AS IN A513133 EXCEPT PTS 1 to 14 2R5872 S/T A513133; S/T A29369, T/W A515190, S/T BU29033, County of Brant, ON.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

We are pleased to submit the following built heritage and cultural heritage landscape screening document for the above-mentioned project. Our submission responds to the requirements for a Renewable Energy Approval pursuant to Ontario Regulation 359/09, under Part V.0.1 of the Environmental Protection Act and provides a completed screening document of the project property in compliance with the above-noted regulation. A description of the project team, including key personnel and their qualifications is detailed below.

2.0 STAFF AND CORPORATE EXPERIENCE

Richard Unterma, M.A. Conservation Studies – Project Manager, Consultation.
Barbara McPhail, M.A. Conservation Studies –Historian, Report Preparation.

3.0 METHODOLOGY

A review of the background study materials including the site and area mapping was undertaken. Unterma McPhail completed a consultation process to determine whether the project location is a Protected Property as described in the Table to Section 19 of

O.Reg. 359/09. Based on the result of the review we have prepared a chart summarizing the result study.

The study of the site included a windshield survey of the project property. Contact with through the office of Mr. Marcus Davidson, Senior Planner County of Brant, Development Services Department regarding on-site and abutting "Protected Properties" was completed to identify and confirm known cultural heritage resources that have been recognized municipally on and/or abutting the site. A review of provincially or federally recognized resources was also completed.

The review of the historical atlas and topographical mapping for the project site was completed to better understand the evolution of former land use.

The appended screening chart represents the result of the review.

If there is any further information required, please do not hesitate to contact me at the address or telephone number indicated above.

Sincerely,

UNTERMAN McPHAIL ASSOCIATES



Richard Unterman, M.A., CAHP
Principal
Unterman McPhail Associates
Heritage Resource Management Consultants

Results of Screening for Protected Properties

**For the Proposed Solar PV Renewable Energy Facility (Brantgate, FIT# FIT-FCELIHJ). In the County of Brant, Ontario:
“Penn Energy – Brantgate”**

A	B	C	D	E	F	G
Item	Description of property <i>[Column 1 of s. 19 TABLE]</i>	Agency (Person) Consulted	Is project <u>located</u> <u>on</u> this type of property? <i>[O. Reg. 359/09, s. 19(1)]</i>	If so, has written authorization been received as required? <i>[O. Reg. 359/09, s. 19(2)]</i>	Does project <u>abut</u> this type of property? <i>[O.Reg. 359/09, s.20(1)3]</i>	If so, might engaging in project have an impact on abutting Protected Property? <i>[O. Reg. 359/09, s.20(1)]</i>
1.	A property that is the subject of an agreement, covenant or easement entered into under clause 10 (1) (b) of the <i>Ontario Heritage Act</i> .	Ontario Heritage Trust	No	Not applicable	No	Not applicable
2.	A property in respect of which a notice of intention to designate the property to be of cultural heritage value or interest has been given in accordance with section 29 of the <i>Ontario Heritage Act</i> .	Local and/or Upper-tier Municipalities	No	Not applicable	No	Not applicable
3.	A property designated by a municipal by-law made under section 29 of the <i>Ontario Heritage Act</i> as a property of cultural heritage value or interest.	Local and/or Upper-tier Municipalities	No	Not applicable	No	Not applicable
4.	A property designated by order of the Minister of Culture made under section 34.5 of the <i>Ontario Heritage Act</i> as a property of cultural heritage value or interest of provincial significance.	Minister of Tourism and Culture	No	Not applicable	No	Not applicable
5.	A property in respect of which a notice of intention to designate the property as property of cultural heritage value or interest of provincial significance has been given in accordance with section 34.6 of the <i>Ontario Heritage Act</i> .	Minister of Tourism and Culture	No	Not applicable	No	Not applicable
6.	A property that is the subject of an easement or a covenant entered into under section 37 of the <i>Ontario Heritage Act</i> .	Local and/or Upper-tier Municipalities	No	Not applicable	No	Not applicable
7.	A property that is part of an area designated by a municipal by-law made under section 41 of the <i>Ontario Heritage Act</i> as a heritage conservation district.	Local and/or Upper-tier Municipalities	No	Not applicable	No	Not applicable
8.	A property designated as a historic site under Regulation 880 of the Revised Regulations of Ontario, 1990 (Historic Sites) made under the <i>Ontario Heritage Act</i> .	Minister of Tourism and Culture	No	Not applicable	No	Not applicable

1. 1. Summary of the matters addressed in determining that the project location is not **on** any Protected Properties (for all “No” results in column ‘D’ in Table, above): *[This is not required for these projects, but will be in the future due to recently adopted O. Reg. 521/10 amendments.]*

Based on information provided by the County of Brant, Ontario, the Development Services Department office confirmed the subject property located at Pt. Lt. 1-2 Con. 11, Burford as in A513133 except Pts. 1 to 14 2R5872 S/T A513133; S/T A29369, T/W A515190, S/T BU29033, County of Brant ; is not included as a Protected Property and it does not abut a property that is a Protected Property. A review of the Province of Ontario’s Protected Properties confirmed the site was not of Provincial significance or was there an heritage easement protecting the site or abutting properties.

1. 2. Description of identified Protected Properties on or abutting the project location (for any “Yes” results in columns ‘D’ or ‘F’ above):
Not applicable.

1. 3. Summary of the matters addressed in determining that there is no possibility of impact from the project on Protected Properties **abutting** the project location (for all “No” results in column ‘G’ above, following a “Yes” in column ‘F’): *[This is required, if any Protected Properties that abut project were identified, per O. Reg. 359/09, s. 20(2).]*

This review prepared by Unterman McPhail Associates, Heritage Resource Management Consultants of municipal and provincial cultural heritage resources confirms that “no impact” will occur to a Protected Property which abuts the project location.

Results of Related Screening (for other Heritage Resources)

A	Are there any heritage resources <u>at the project location</u> , other than at a part of the project location that is on a property described in Column 1 of the Table to section 19? <i>[O. Reg. 359/09, s. 20(1)2]</i>	No
B	If so, might engaging in project have an impact on it/them? <i>[O. Reg. 359/09, s. 20(1)]</i>	Not applicable

1. 1. Description of identified heritage resources at the project location, other than at a part of the project location that was identified above as a Protected Property (for a “Yes” result in row ‘A’ above):
Not applicable.

1. 2. Summary of the matters addressed in determining that there is no possibility of impact from the project on any heritage resources at the project location, other than at a part of the project location that is on a Protected Property (for any “No” result in row ‘B’ above, following a “Yes” in row ‘A’): *[This is required, if any heritage resources were identified, per O. Reg. 359/09, s. 20(2).]*
Not applicable.